## For authors

Manuscripts in English (exceptionally in German, Czech or Slovak) are typically 15–20 pages in length or circa 5000–6000 words or circa 30.000–40.000 characters (including spaces and footnotes) following demanded standard. Moreover, submitted manuscripts are supposed to contain abstract in English, key words in English, bibliography and an affiliation of the author. References must be formatted according to the style guide used in the preparation of the manuscript. All references must include DOI or persistent URL information if available. Music examples, figures, photographs, and other illustrations must be checked for accuracy before submission. Authors may include a combined total of three tables and/or figures. These must be publishable in black and white. Examples, tables and figures should be included at the end of the manuscript; they should not be embedded in the body of the text. If any copyrighted materials are used (music notation, illustrations, figures, and so forth), documentation verifying that the author has permission to use the material must be included. Authors are responsible for obtaining written permission to publish any author-submitted photographs, videos, or audio examples. For minors, written permission of a parent or guardian is required before such images are published.

The manuscript should represent the highest standards of research design and scholarly writing. Authors should write in clear, readable English. Manuscripts must conform to one of the following style manuals: *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th edition, 2019), *The Chicago Manual of Style (15th edition, 2003)*, or *A Manual for Writers of Term Papers, Theses, and Dissertations* (K. L. Turabian, 7th edition, revised by Wayne C. Booth, Gregory Colomb, Joseph M. Williams, and the University of Chicago Press Editorial Staff, 2007). Authors may not mix styles within a single manuscript. To ensure anonymity in the reviewing process, the manuscript and the abstract should contain no clues to the author's identity or institutional affiliation. All manuscripts must be submitted electronically or online.

An abstract of 100–200 words should be included. Provide 5–8 keywords/phrases in alphabetical order that describe the contents of the manuscript. Keywords will be entered as part of the submission process, and also should be included at the bottom of the abstract.

By sending an article to the editorial office of the Journal, the author expresses his/her consent to publishing of the author's contact data and short biographical note (100–150 words) together with the study in case of positive peer review procedure and acceptance of the article for publishing; the data will include: postal address of the author's working centre and contact e-mail and they will be forwarded also to the relevant scientific databases in which the Journal will be indexed.

### **Publication ethics**

Author is expected to comply with international ethical and legal standards in publishing and on the treatment of human subjects. Submitting a manuscript indicates that it has not been published previously and is not currently submitted for publication elsewhere, either in its entirety or in part. Distribution on the internet may be considered prior publication and may

compromise the originality of the paper as a submission to the *Musica Paedagogia Pilsnensis*. Author must describe in what form and how a manuscript has been previously disseminated. Submission of an article based on a doctoral dissertation is permissible. Piecemeal publication, or publishing data from one study in multiple articles, is generally not acceptable. A study conceived as one study should be published as one study (e.g., it would not be appropriate to divide a mixed methods study into qualitative and quantitative articles; a study designed to investigate multiple research questions should not be divided into separate articles addressing different research questions, and so forth). Please contact the editor with questions regarding prior dissemination of the article, when in doubt about any aspect of the ethics of manuscript submission etc. As part of editor's commitment to ensuring an ethical, transparent and fair peer review process we also strongly encourage all authors to gain and associate their ORCID (the Open Researcher and Contributor ID) which provides a unique and persistent digital identifier that distinguishes researchers from every other researcher.

Editor is expected that all research articles in this journal have undergone rigorous peer review, based on initial editor screening and anonymized refereeing by at least two anonymous referees, normally from two different countries in line with the journal's international status. The editor of the *Musica Paedagogia Pilsnensis* is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision. The editor at any time evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors. The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

The editor will be guided by COPE's Guidelines for Retracting Articles when considering retracting, issuing expressions of concern about, and issuing corrections pertaining to articles that have been published in *Musica Paedagogia Pilsnensis*. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the explicit written consent of the author(s). Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. The editor is committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint, or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions.

# **Review process**

The editor should seek so ensure a fair and appropriate peer-review process. The editor should recuse himself/herself from handling manuscripts (i.e. should ask a co-editor) in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers. The editor should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern.

Peer review elaborated by a reviewer assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the manuscript. Any invited referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its timely review will be impossible should immediately notify the editor so that alternative reviewers can be contacted. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor. Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author(s) is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments. Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the author(s). Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. Reviewers should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submission.

This journal uses a double-blind model of peer review. The supposed duration from submission to first decision is 120 days and from submission to first post-review decision is 180 days. The authors are invited to register/apply voluntarily as potential reviewers.

#### Contact

Department of Music Education and Music Culture Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia in Pilsen Klatovská 51, 301 00 Pilsen, Czech Republic / Katedra hudební výchovy a kultury Fakulta pedagogická, Západočeská univerzita v Plzni Klatovská 51, 301 00 Plzeň, Česká republika

#### **Phone**

① +420 377 636 202; ① +420 377 636 203

### Buy

Individual printed issues of *Musica Paedagogia Pilsnensis* can be ordered through the Publisher's e-shop at https://www.e-shop.zcu.cz.